arXiv:1412.2699v1 [math.CA] 8 Dec 2014

Wavelet frames on Vilenkin groups and their approximation properties

Yu.A. Farkov*, E.A. Lebedeva†, M.A. Skopina‡

farkov@list.ru, ealebedeva2004@gmail.com, skopina@ms1167.spb.edu

Abstract

An explicit description of all Walsh polynomials generating tight wavelet frames is given. An algorithm for finding the corresponding wavelet functions is suggested, and a general form for all wavelet frames generated by an appropriate Walsh polynomial is described. Approximation properties of tight wavelet frames are also studied. In contrast to the real setting, it appeared that a wavelet tight frame decomposition has an arbitrary large approximation order whenever all wavelet functions are compactly supported.

Keywords Vilenkin group; Walsh function; wavelet frame; scaling function; refinable function; approximation order.

AMS Subject Classification: 22B99, 42C40,

1 Introduction

In the forties of the last century Vilenkin started to study harmonic analysis on the groups which are infinite products of cyclic groups with the product topologies (see [26, 1]). A well-known special case is the locally compact Cantor group which is the countably weak direct product of the cyclic group of order 2. The foundations of harmonic analysis on the Vilenkin/Cantor groups (or the Walsh analysis) are presented in monographs [1], [11], [23].

The wavelet theory for Vilenkin groups is actively studied for the last two decades. Most of the results are related to the Vilenkin groups which are the products of cyclic groups of the same order p. In the present paper we also discuss only such groups. In 1996-1998 the

*Department of Applied Information Technology, Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, Prospect Vernadskogo, 82, Moscow, 119571, Russia

†Mathematics and Mechanics Faculty, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetsky prospekt, 28, Peterhof, Saint Petersburg, 198504, Russia; Saint Petersburg State Polytechnical University, Polytechnicheskay 29, Saint Petersburg, 195251, Russia

‡Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Control Processes, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetsky prospekt, 35, Peterhof, Saint Petersburg, 198504, Russia

concept of multiresolution analysis (MRA) for the Cantor group was introduced by Lang who also developed a general method for the construction of MRA-based orthogonal wavelet bases (see [14] - [16]). Some wavelets on the Cantor group were studied independently by Sendov [24] in 1997. Later deep investigations of orthogonal wavelets were added by one of the authors and his coauthors in [3] - [7]. An MRA theory and methods for the construction of orthogonal and biorthogonal wavelets for Vilenkin groups were developed by the same author jointly with Rodionov in [8], [9]. Recently some results were added by Lukomskii in [18] and by one of the authors jointly with Krivoshein in [13].

The wavelet theories for the Vilenkin groups and for the real line are more or less similar. To construct a real compactly supported orthogonal wavelet basis one starts with an appropriate trigonometric polynomial (scaling mask) m_0. A scaling mask generating an orthogonal basis satisfies the condition $|m_0(x)|^2 + |m_0(x+\pi)|^2 = 1$ which is only necessary but not sufficient for the orthogonality. In the case of Vilenkin groups the Walsh polynomials, i.e. finite linear combinations of the characters, play the same role as the trigonometric polynomials in the real setting. A necessary condition for a scaling mask to provide orthogonality is known. A complete description of Walsh polynomials satisfying this condition (scaling masks) is given in [3]. Not all such scaling masks lead to orthogonal wavelet bases. Sufficient conditions are also known [4, 7], but not for all masks it is possible to check them easily without computer calculations. However, similarly to the real setting, each such a scaling mask leads to a tight wavelet frame. Moreover, the necessary condition for the orthogonality can be relaxed in the case of the tight frame constructions. Several examples of tight wavelet frames on the Cantor group are given in [10].

The goals of this paper are the following. We give an explicit description of all Walsh polynomials generating tight wavelet frames. We give an algorithmic method for finding the corresponding wavelet masks (matrix extension problem) and give an explicit description of all solutions to this matrix extension problem. Note that there was an attempt to solve the latter problem in [25]. Unfortunately, the attempt was unsuccessful, the suggested method does not lead to a required matrix extension (see more detailed comments in Section 3). Note also that the matrix extension problem for the Vilenkin groups is not similar to the same problem in the real setting, and our method is not an analog of the result obtained by Lawton, Lee and Shen [17] for the trigonometric polynomials. Finally, we study approximation properties of tight wavelet frame decompositions generated by Walsh polynomials. It appeared that, in contrast to the real setting, any such decomposition has an arbitrary approximation order.

2 Notations and basic facts

As usual, by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ we denote the set of integers, non-negative integers, positive integers, real numbers, and complex numbers, respectively.

Let an integer $p \geq 2$ be fixed within the paper. The Vilenkin group $G = G_p$ consists of the sequences

$$x = (x_j) = (\dots, 0, 0, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots),$$

where $x_j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and there exists at most a finite number of negative j such that $x_j \neq 0$. The zero sequence is denoted by θ. If $x \neq \theta$, then there exists a unique $k = k(x)$ such that $x_k \neq 0$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j < k$. The group operation on G is denoted by $\oplus$ and defined as the coordinatewise addition modulo p :

$$(z_j) = (x_j) \oplus (y_j) \iff z_j = x_j + y_j \,(\mathrm{mod}\, p) \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Evidently, θ is the neutral element for G. We denote by $\ominus$ the inverse operation of $\oplus$. If $x \in G$, then $\ominus x$ denotes the inverse element of x.

Define a mapping $\lambda : G \to [0, +\infty)$ by letting

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} x_j p^{-j}, \qquad x = (x_j) \in G.$$

The topology in G is introduced via the complete system of neighborhoods of zero

$$U_l = \{(x_j) \in G \mid x_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \leq l\}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Set $U = U_0$. Clearly, U is a subgroup of G. Introduce also the set

$$H := \{(x_j) \in G \mid x_j = 0 \text{ for } j > 0\},$$

a discrete subgroup of G. It is clear that the image of H under λ is the set of non-negative integers: $\lambda(H) = \mathbb{Z}_+$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $h_{[\alpha]}$ denote the element of H such that $\lambda(h_{[\alpha]}) = \alpha$; in particular, $h_{[0]} = \theta$. A function f defined on G is called *H-periodic* if $f(\omega \oplus h) = f(\omega)$ for all $h \in H$.

Define an automorphism A on G by letting $(Ax)_j = x_{j+1}$. Set

$$U_{n,\,s} := A^{-n}(h_{[s]}) \oplus A^{-n}(U), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad 0 \leq s \leq p^n - 1.$$

It is clear that the sets $U_{n,\,s}$ are cosets of the subgroup $A^{-n}(U)$ in the group U.

There is a non-Archimedean metric on G which generates the same topology. This metric is defined as follows. The distance ρ between $x \in G$ and $y \in G$ is given by $\rho(x, y) = \|x \ominus y\|$, where $\|\theta\| := 0$ and $\|x\| := p^{-k(x)}$ for any $x \neq \theta$ (see [23, Section 9.1]).

Since G is a locally compact abelian group, there exists a Haar measure μ on G (see [12]) which is positive, invariant under the shifts, i.e., $\mu(x \oplus a) = \mu(x)$, and normalized by $\mu(U) = 1$. Hence the functional spaces $L_q(G)$ and $L_q(E)$, where E is a measurable subset of G, are defined. By $\mathbb{1}_E$ we denote the characteristic function of the set E. Denote by $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ and $||\cdot||_2$ the inner product and the norm in $L^2(G)$ respectively.

Given $\omega \in G$, the function

$$\chi_\omega(x) = \chi(x, \omega) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} x_j\, \omega_{1-j}\right)$$

is a group character of G. The Pontryagin dual group G^* of G is topologically isomorphic to G, where the isomorphism is given by $\omega \to \chi_\omega$. In the sequel, we identify these groups and write G instead of G^*.

It is easy to check the following properties of the characters

$$\chi(x,\omega)=\chi(\omega,x),\ \chi(x_1\oplus x_2,\omega)=\chi(x_1,\omega)\chi(x_2,\omega),$$
$$\chi(Ax,\omega)=\chi(x,A\omega),\ \overline{\chi(x,\omega)}=\chi(x,\ominus\omega).$$

The Fourier transform of a function $f\in L^1(G)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\omega)=\int_G f(x)\overline{\chi(x,\omega)}d\mu(x),\quad \omega\in G.$$

It is well-known that the Fourier operator

$$\mathcal{F}:\ L^1(G)\cap L^2(G)\to L^2(G),\quad \mathcal{F}f=\widehat{f},$$

can be extended in the standard way to the space $L^2(G)$ so that $\langle\widehat{f},\widehat{g}\,\rangle=\langle f,g\rangle$ for all $f,g\in L^2(G)$ (*Plancherel's relation*).

The *generalized Walsh functions* for the group G are defined by

$$W_\alpha(x)=\chi(x,h_{[\alpha]}),\qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ x\in G.$$

These functions are H-periodic, continuous on G and satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$\int_U W_\alpha(x)\overline{W_\beta(x)}d\mu(x)=\delta_{\alpha,\beta},\qquad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta. Moreover, the orthonormal system $\{W_\alpha\}$ is complete in $L^2(U)$ (see [11, Section 2.8]). If $0\le\alpha\le p^n-1$, then the function W_α is constant on each set $U_{n,s}$, $0\le s\le p^n-1$. Finite linear combinations of generalized Walsh functions are called *Walsh polynomials*.

The discrete Vilenkin-Chrestenson transform maps a vector $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,\dots,b_{p^n-1})$ to the vector $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,\dots,a_{p^n-1})$ given by

$$a_\alpha=p^{-n}\sum_{s=0}^{p^n-1}b_sW_\alpha(A^{-n}h_{[s]}),\quad 0\le\alpha\le p^n-1. \tag{1}$$

The inverse transform can be written as

$$b_s=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1}a_\alpha\,\overline{W_\alpha(A^{-n}h_{[s]})},\qquad 0\le s\le p^n-1, \tag{2}$$

see, e.g., [11, Section 11.2] and [19] for the corresponding fast transforms.

3 MRA-based tight wavelet frames

In this section we discuss tight wavelet frames on the Vilenkin groups constructed with using the MRA approach. In the real setting, a general scheme for the construction of MRA-based wavelet frames was developed by Ron and Shen [22]. Following this scheme, one starts with a refinable function (scaling function for the future MRA) or its mask (scaling mask). Then wavelet masks should be found according to the matrix extension principle, and the wavelet masks provide the wavelet functions. A similar approach is valid for Vilenkin groups.

A function $\varphi \in L^2(G)$ is called *refinable* if it satisfies

$$\varphi(x) = p\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} a_\alpha \varphi(Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}), \quad x \in G. \tag{3}$$

To construct compactly supported wavelet frames we are interested in compactly supported refinable functions. In contrast to the real setting, the following statement holds true for the Vilenkin groups.

Proposition 1 *If φ is a compactly supported refinable function, then in (3) there exists only a finite number of nonzero coefficients a_α.*

Proof. Let φ satisfy (3) and $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset U_{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Set

$$f(x) = p\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+\\ \|\alpha\|\le p^{m+1}}} a_\alpha \varphi(Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}), \quad g(x) = p\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+\\ \|\alpha\|> p^{m+1}}} a_\alpha \varphi(Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}).$$

If $x \in U_{-m}$ and $\|\alpha\| > p^{m+1}$, then $\|Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}\| > p^{m+1}$, and hence $\varphi(Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}) = 0$. So, $g(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in U_{-m}$. If $x \notin U_{-m}$ and $\|\alpha\| \le p^{m+1}$, then again $\|Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}\| > p^{m+1}$, and hence $\varphi(Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}) = 0$. So, $f(x) = 0$ whenever $x \notin U_{-m}$. Since also $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \notin U_{-m}$, it follows from (3) that $\varphi = f$. $\Diamond$

It is well known that in the real setting, the mask of an orthogonal compactly supported refinable function is a trigonometric polynomial. An analog of Proposition 1 does not hold without additional assumption of the orthogonality.

Let φ be a compactly supported refinable function. Then, by Proposition 1, there exists a positive integer n such that φ satisfies a refinement equation

$$\varphi(x) = p\sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1} a_\alpha \varphi(Ax \ominus h_{[\alpha]}), \quad x \in G. \tag{4}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of the both parts of (4), we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\omega) = m_0(A^{-1}\omega)\widehat{\varphi}(A^{-1}\omega), \tag{5}$$

where

$$m_0(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1} a_\alpha \overline{W_\alpha(\omega)}. \tag{6}$$

The function m_0 is called *the mask* of φ or *a scaling mask*. This function is a Walsh polynomial of order $p^n - 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, using properties of a character of the group G, we get $\overline{W_\alpha(\omega)} = \overline{\chi(x,\, h_{[\alpha]})} = \chi(x,\, \ominus h_{[\alpha]}) = W_{\lambda(\ominus h_{[\alpha]})}(x)$. It follows from the definition of the operation $\oplus$ that $0 \le \alpha \le p^n - 1$ if and only if $0 \le \lambda(\ominus h_{[\alpha]}) \le p^n - 1$.

Suppose there exist Walsh polynomials $m_1, \dots, m_r$ $r \ge p-1$, (*wavelet masks*) such that the matrix

$$M(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} m_0(\omega) & m_1(\omega) & \dots & m_r(\omega) \\ m_0(\omega \oplus \delta_1) & m_1(\omega \oplus \delta_1) & \dots & m_r(\omega \oplus \delta_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ m_0(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}) & m_1(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}) & \dots & m_r(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where $\delta_l \in G$ and $\lambda(\delta_l) = l/p$, $l \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, satisfies

$$M(\omega) M^*(\omega) = I_p, \tag{8}$$

i.e., the rows of M form an orthonormal system. By M^* we denote the conjugate transpose of the matrix M.

The functions $\psi^{(1)}, \dots, \psi^{(r)}$ defined by

$$\widehat{\psi^{(\nu)}}(\omega) = m_\nu(A^{-1}\omega)\widehat{\varphi}(A^{-1}\omega), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, r,$$

are called *wavelet functions*. The corresponding *wavelet system*

$$\psi_{j,k}^{(\nu)}(x) = p^{j/2}\psi^{(\nu)}(A^j x \ominus h_{[k]}), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, r,\ j \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{9}$$

is said to *be generated by* φ.

A system $\{\psi_{j,k}^{(\nu)} \mid \nu = 1, \dots, r,\ j \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a *tight frame* in $L^2(G)$ if there exists a constant C such that for any $f \in L^2(G)$ the following equality holds

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} |\langle f\,, \psi_{j,k}^{(\nu)}\rangle|^2 = C\|f\|^2.$$

We say that a wavelet frame is compactly supported if each wavelet function $\psi^{(\nu)}$ is compactly supported.

Theorem 2 ([25]) *Let φ be a compactly supported refinable function and $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta) \ne 0$. Then a wavelet system $\{\psi_{j,k}^{(\nu)}\}$ generated by φ is a tight frame in $L^2(G)$ with $C = |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)|^2$.*

An analog of this theorem for the real setting was proved by Petukhov [21] (see also [20, § 1.8] or [2, § 1.1]). The proof for the Vilenkin groups given in [25] is exactly the same.

Moreover, following [20, § 1.8], Theorem 2 can be improved as follows. We can assume only the continuity of $\widehat{\varphi}$ at the point θ instead of the compactness of the support of φ. However, in the present paper we are interested only in compactly supported refinable functions.

Evidently, if the rows of matrix (7) form an orthonormal system, then

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} |m_0(\omega \oplus \delta_l)|^2 \le 1, \quad \omega \in G. \tag{10}$$

So, for a refinable function to generate a tight wavelet frame it is necessary its mask satisfy (10). We claim that (10) is also sufficient, i.e. if m_0 satisfies (10), then there exist wavelet masks $m_1, \dots, m_r$. To prove this and to give an algorithmic method for the construction of wavelet masks (to solve the matrix extension problem) we need several auxiliary statements.

Proposition 3 *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we have*

$$W_{p\alpha+k}(\omega) = W_\alpha(A\omega) W_k(\omega), \quad \omega \in G. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Using the definition of the generalized Walsh function and properties of the character of G, we immediately get

$$W_{p\alpha+k}(\omega) = \chi(\omega, h_{[p\alpha+k]}) = \chi(\omega, h_{[p\alpha]} \oplus h_{[k]}) = \chi(\omega, h_{[p\alpha]}) W_k(\omega),$$

where

$$\chi(\omega, h_{[p\alpha]}) = \chi(\omega, Ah_{[\alpha]}) = \chi(A\omega, h_{[\alpha]}) = W_\alpha(A\omega). \quad \Diamond$$

Proposition 4 *For $\omega \in G$, the matrix*

$$W(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} W_0(\omega) & W_1(\omega) & \dots & W_{p-1}(\omega) \\ W_0(\omega \oplus \delta_1) & W_1(\omega \oplus \delta_1) & \dots & W_{p-1}(\omega \oplus \delta_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ W_0(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}) & W_1(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}) & \dots & W_{p-1}(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

where $\delta_l \in G$ and $\lambda(\delta_l) = l/p$, $l \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, is unitary.

Proof. Suppose that $\omega \in G$ and $k, s, s' \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. Then

$$W_k(\omega \oplus \delta_s)\overline{W_k(\omega \oplus \delta_{s'})} = W_k(\omega) W_k(\delta_s) \overline{W_k(\omega)}\, \overline{W_k(\delta_{s'})}$$

$$= W_k(\delta_s \ominus \delta_{s'}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p} k(s - s')\right).$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} W_k(\omega \oplus \delta_s)\overline{W_k(\omega \oplus \delta_{s'})} = p\delta_{s,s'}. \quad \Diamond$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$m_0(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1} a_\alpha^{(0)} \overline{W_\alpha(\omega)} \tag{13}$$

be a Walsh polynomial satisfying (10). Then we fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq p-1$, and show how to find polynomials

$$m_\nu(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1} a_\alpha^{(\nu)} \overline{W_\alpha(\omega)}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, r, \tag{14}$$

such that the rows of matrix (7) form an orthonormal system.

Proposition 5 *Let $m_0, \dots, m_r$ be polynomials given by* (13) *and* (14)*, and let*

$$\mu_{\nu,k}(\omega) := \sqrt{p} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{n-1}-1} a_{p\alpha+k}^{(\nu)} \overline{W_\alpha(\omega)}, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, r, \ k = 0, \dots, p-1. \tag{15}$$

Then

$$m_\nu(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \mu_{\nu,s}(A\omega) \overline{W_s(\omega)} \tag{16}$$

and for all $s, s' \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ the following equivalence holds

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{r} m_\nu(\omega \oplus \delta_s) \overline{m_\nu(\omega \oplus \delta_{s'})} = \delta_{s,s'} \iff \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \mu_{\nu,s}(A\omega) \overline{\mu_{\nu,s'}(A\omega)} = \delta_{s,s'}. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, for all $\nu, \nu' \in \{0, \dots, r\}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} m_\nu(\omega \oplus \delta_k) \overline{m_{\nu'}(\omega \oplus \delta_k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu_{\nu,k}(A\omega) \overline{\mu_{\nu',k}(A\omega)}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Applying (11) and using H-periodicity of the functions W_α for all $\nu \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ and $s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, we obtain

$$m_\nu(\omega \oplus \delta_s) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^n-1} a_\alpha^{(\nu)} \overline{W_\alpha(\omega \oplus \delta_s)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{n-1}-1} a_{p\alpha+k}^{(\nu)} \overline{W_{p\alpha+k}(\omega \oplus \delta_s)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{n-1}-1} a_{p\alpha+k}^{(\nu)} \overline{W_\alpha(A\omega)}\, \overline{W_k(\omega \oplus \delta_s)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu_{\nu,k}(A\omega) \overline{W_k(\omega \oplus \delta_s)}, \tag{19}$$

which yields (16).

Denote by $\Gamma(\omega)$ the matrix

$$\Gamma(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{0,0}(\omega) & \mu_{1,0}(\omega) & \dots & \mu_{r,0}(\omega) \\ \mu_{0,1}(\omega) & \mu_{1,1}(\omega) & \dots & \mu_{r,1}(\omega) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mu_{0,p-1}(\omega) & \mu_{1,p-1}(\omega) & \dots & \mu_{r,p-1}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (19), $M(\omega) = \Gamma(A\omega)W^*(\omega)$, which yields

$$M^*(\omega)M(\omega) = W(\omega)\Gamma^*(A\omega)\Gamma(A\omega)W^*(\omega).$$

Therefore, we have

$$M^*(\omega)M(\omega) = I_{r+1} \iff \Gamma^*(A\omega)\Gamma(A\omega) = I_{r+1},$$

Thus (17) is proved. Finally, using Proposition 4 we get

$$M(\omega)M^*(\omega) = \Gamma(A\omega)(W^*(\omega)W(\omega))\Gamma^*(A\omega) = \Gamma(A\omega)\Gamma^*(A\omega),$$

and (18) is proved. $\Diamond$

The functions $\mu_{\nu,k}$ defined by (15) are called *the polyphase components* of m_ν.

Corollary 6 *Let m_0 be defined by* (13) *and satisfy* (10), *and let $b_{n,l}^{(0,s)} := \mu_{0,s}(A^{1-n}(h_{[l]}))$, where $\mu_{0,s}$ are the polyphase components of m_0. Then*

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} |b_{n,l}^{(0,s)}|^2 \le 1, \quad l = 0, \dots, p^n - 1. \tag{20}$$

Moreover, the Walsh polynomials m_ν, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, are wavelet functions corresponding to m_0 if and only if their polyphase components are given by

$$\mu_{\nu,s}(A\omega) = \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \mathbb{1}_{U_{n,l}}(\omega), \quad \omega \in U, \quad s = 0, \dots, p-1, \tag{21}$$

where $b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)}$ are complex numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{r} b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \overline{b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s')}} = \delta_{s,s'}, \quad s, s' = 0, \dots, p-1, \quad l = 0, \dots, p^n - 1. \tag{22}$$

Proof. It follows from (10) and (18) that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} |\mu_{0,s}(A\omega)|^2 \le 1, \quad \omega \in G,$$

which yields (20).

By (17), condition (8) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{r} \mu_{\nu,s}(A\omega)\overline{\mu_{\nu,s'}(A\omega)} = \delta_{s,s'}, \quad \omega \in G, \quad s, s' = 0, \dots, p-1. \tag{23}$$

Since the polynomial $\mu_{\nu,s}(A\cdot)$ is constant on the sets $U_{n,l}$, $l = 0, \dots, p^n - 1$, we have (21). Using that $\mathbb{1}_{U_{n,l}}(\omega)\mathbb{1}_{U_{n,l'}}(\omega) = \delta_{l,l'}$ for every $\omega \in U$ and all $l, l' \in \{0, \dots p^n - 1\}$, taking into account that $\mu_{\nu,s}$ is H-periodic and $A^{-n}(h_{[l]}) \in U_{n,l}$, we see that (23) is equivalent to (22), as required. $\diamondsuit$

Next we must solve system (22) for each $l \in \{0, \dots, p^n - 1\}$ with respect to the unknowns $b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, $s = 0, \dots, p-1$, provided (20) is satisfied. It is easy to solve this problem using the following statement based on the Householder transform.

Proposition 7 *Let $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 1, \dots, r$, $c_0 \neq 1$, $\sum_{k=0}^{r} |c_k|^2 = 1$, and let*

$$c_{k0} = c_k, \quad c_{0j} = \overline{c}_{j0}\,\frac{1 - c_{00}}{1 - \overline{c}_{00}}, \quad c_{kj} = \delta_{kj} - \frac{c_{k0}\overline{c}_{j0}}{1 - \overline{c}_{00}}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, r. \tag{24}$$

Then the matrix $(c_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{r}$ is unitary.

Remark 8 *We see that if $c_0 = c_{00}$ is closed to 1, then calculations with the unitary matrix given by (24) are numerically non-stable. However, to avoid this trouble it suffices to renumber properly the initial vector $(c_k)_{k=0,\dots,r}$.*

Summarizing Propositions 3-7, we present an algorithm for the matrix extension. on a given first column $(m_0(\omega), \dots, m_0(\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1}))^T$, where m_0 is defined by (13) and satisfies (10).

Algorithm A.

- **Step 1** Using (15), find the polynomials $\mu_{0,s}$, $s = 0, \dots p-1$.
- **Step 2** Set $b_{n,l}^{*(0,s)} = \mu_{0,s}(A^{1-n}(h_{[l]}))$, $s = 0, \dots, p-1$, $l = 0, \dots, p^n - 1$.
- **Step 3** In the case $r \geq p$, for each l choose arbitrary $b_{n,l}^{*(0,p)}, \dots, b_{n,l}^{*(0,r)} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{r} |b_{n,l}^{*(0,k)}|^2 = 1$.
- **Step 4** For each l, set $c_k = b_{n,l}^{*(0,k)}$, $k = 0, \dots, r$; for each $s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ and $\nu \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, compute $c_{s,\nu}$ by (24) if $c_0 \neq 1$, and put $c_{s,\nu} = \delta_{s\nu}$ if $c_0 = 1$; set $b_{n,l}^{*(\nu,s)} = c_{s,\nu}$.
- **Step 5** Using (21), find the polynomials $\mu_{\nu,s}$, $s = 0, \dots p-1$, $\nu = 1 \dots, r$.
- **Step 6** Using (16), find m_ν, $\nu = 1 \dots, r$.

  As a result, we obtain a unitary matrix (7).

It is clear that the suggested method of matrix extension is not unique. First, there is a wide freedom for choosing $b_{n,l}^{*(0,p)}, \dots, b_{n,l}^{*(0,r)}$ in Step 3. Second, Proposition 7 provides only one unitary matrix on a given first column. The following theorem gives a complete description of all matrix extensions.

Theorem 9 *Let m_0 be defined by (13) and satisfy (10), $b_{n,l}^{*(\nu,s)}$ be the numbers determined in Algorithm A, and let $V_l := (v_{n,l}^{(\nu,k)})_{\nu,k=0,\dots,r}$, $l = 0, \dots, p^n - 1$, is a unitary matrix whose first column is $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. Then polynomials m_ν, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, are wavelet masks corresponding to m_0 if an only if*

$$m_\nu(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q=0}^{p^n-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} c_{n,\lambda(h_{[q]} \ominus h_{[s]})}^{(\nu,s)} \right) \overline{W_q(\omega)},$$

where

$$c_{n,t}^{(\nu,s)} = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r} b_{n,l}^{*(k,s)} v_{n,l}^{(\nu,k)} W_t(A^{1-n}(h_{[l]})).$$

Proof. It is well known that any solution of system (22) (for every fixed l) may be given as follows

$$b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} b_{n,l}^{*(k,s)} \tilde{v}_{n,l}^{(\nu,k)},$$

where $\tilde{V}_l := (\tilde{v}_{n,l}^{(\nu,k)})_{\nu,k=0,\dots,r}$ is a unitary matrix. Evidently, to provide

$$b_{n,l}^{(0,s)} = b_{n,l}^{*(0,s)}, \quad s = 0, \dots, p-1, \tag{25}$$

the first column of $\tilde{V}$ should be equal to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$, that is

$$b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} b_{n,l}^{*(k,s)} v_{n,l}^{(\nu,k)}.$$

Suppose Walsh polynomials m_ν, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$, given by (14) are wavelet masks corresponding to m_0. Let $\mu_{\nu,s}$ denote the polyphase components of m_ν, $\nu = 0, \dots, p-1$. Due to Corollary 6,

$$\mu_{\nu,s}(A\omega) = \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \mathbb{1}_{U_{n,l}}(\omega),$$

where $b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)}$ satisfy (22) for every l, and the equality $b_{n,l}^{(0,s)} = \mu_{0,s}(A^{1-n}(h_{[l]}))$ is equivalent to (25). Since the system $\{W_l\}_{l=0,\dots,p^n-1}$ is orthonormal on U and each W_l is constant on $U_{n,k}$, $k = 0, \dots, p^n - 1$, it follows that

$$\mu_{\nu,s}(A\omega) = \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} c_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \overline{W_l(\omega)},$$

where

$$c_{n,t}^{(\nu,s)} = \int_U \left(\sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \mathbb{1}_{U_{n,l}}(\omega) \right) W_t(\omega)\, d\omega = \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \int_{U_{n,l}} W_t(\omega)\, d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} b_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} W_t(A^{1-n}(h_{[l]})) = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r} b_{n,l}^{*(k,s)} v_{n,l}^{(\nu,k)} W_t(A^{1-n}(h_{[l]})).$$

By (16), we obtain

$$m_\nu(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{p^n-1} c_{n,l}^{(\nu,s)} \overline{W_l(\omega)} \right) \overline{W_s(\omega)}$$

Using the definition of the generalized Walsh function, of the function $h_{[\cdot]}$, and λ, we obtain

$$W_l(\omega) W_s(\omega) = \chi(\omega,\, h_{[l]}) \chi(\omega,\, h_{[s]}) = \chi(\omega,\, h_{[l]} \oplus h_{[s]}) = W_{\lambda(h_{[l]} \oplus h_{[s]})}(\omega).$$

We change index of summation. Denote $q = \lambda(h_{[l]} \oplus h_{[s]})$, then $h_{[q]} = h_{[l]} \oplus h_{[s]}$, so $h_{[l]} = h_{[q]} \ominus h_{[s]}$, thus $l = \lambda(h_{[q]} \ominus h_{[s]})$. Finally,

$$m_\nu(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q=0}^{p^n-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} c_{n,\lambda(h_{[q]} \ominus h_{[s]})}^{(\nu,s)} \right) \overline{W_q(\omega)}.$$

The converse follows from the same above arguments. $\Diamond$

Another method for finding wavelet masks $m_1, \dots m_r$ was suggested in [25, Theorem 3.5]. Unfortunately, there are crucial mistakes there. First, the suggested form for the matrix $\mathcal{M}_0(\xi) = \mathcal{P}(\xi)\sqrt{\Lambda(\xi)}\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$ does not have required structure. Namely, the k-th row of the matrix $\mathcal{M}_0(\xi)$ should be $(m_1(\xi \oplus k/p), \dots, m_L(\xi \oplus k/p))$. The author states that this is true for every unitary matrix $\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$. But if $\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$ is equal to the identity matrix, some elements of the matrix $\mathcal{P}(\xi)\sqrt{\Lambda(\xi)}$ are equal to zero (for example, all elements of the first column except the first two elements), which is impossible. Second, even if the result were correct for a concrete $\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$, multiplying a matrix of the form (7) by an arbitrary unitary matrix $\mathcal{Q}(\xi)$, one would lose the aforementioned structure.

Theorem 10 *A compactly supported refinable function generates compactly supported tight wavelet frames if and only if its mask m_0 is a Walsh polynomial satisfying $m_0(\theta) = 1$ and (10).*

Proof. First we note that the assumption $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta) \neq 0$ in Theorem 2 is necessary for φ to generate tight wavelet frames. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 2 is based on the identity

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |\langle f\,, \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \rangle|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |\langle f\,, \varphi_{j,k} \rangle|^2$$

and the relation

$$\lim_{j\to+\infty}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}|\langle f,\varphi_{jk}\rangle|^2=|\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)|^2\|f\|^2, \tag{26}$$

which holds true for every $f\in L_2(G)$ Hence, if $\{\psi_{j,k}^{(\nu)}\}$ is a tight frame, then $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)\neq 0$. It follows from (5) that $m_0(\theta)=1$. By Proposition 1 we conclude that m_0 is a Walsh polynomial. Property (10) follows from the orthonormality of the rows of matrix (7). Thus, necessity is proved.

If now m_0 is a Walsh polynomial and $m_0(\theta)=1$, then $\widehat{\varphi}(\omega)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}m_0(A^{-j}\omega)$, and hence, $\varphi(\theta)=1$. Existence of wavelet masks satisfying (7) follows from (10) and Theorem 9. $\Diamond$

4 Generating scaling masks

In Section 3 we suggested a method for the construction of wavelet masks $m_1,\dots,m_r$ (and hence of a tight wavelet frame) if an appropriate m_0 is given. Remind that m_0 should be a scaling mask, i.e. the mask of a compactly supported refinable function. In the present section, we describe all such masks, and hence describe all compactly supported refinable functions generating tight wavelet frames. Moreover, we give explicit formulas for these refinable functions.

The following statement is a minor improvement for an analog of well-known Mallat's theorem (see, e.g., [20, Lemma 4.1.3]).

Theorem 11 *Suppose m_0 is a Walsh polynomial satisfying $m_0(\theta)=1$ and (10). Then the function*

$$g(\omega):=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}m_0(A^{-j}\omega),\quad \omega\in G, \tag{27}$$

is in $L^2(G)$, and the function φ defined by $\widehat{\varphi}=g$ is a compactly supported refinable function whose mask is m_0.

Proof. Let m_0 be a Walsh polynomial of order p^n-1, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $m_0(\theta)=1$, we have $m_0(\omega)=1$ for all $\omega\in U_{n,0}$. Hence for each $\omega\in G$, at most a finite number of factors of the product in (27) are not equal to 1. It follows that the product converges uniformly on any compact set $K\subset G$ (because $A^{-j}K\subset U_{n,0}$ whenever j is large enough).

Set $g_0:=\mathbb{1}_U$,

$$g_l(\omega):=\prod_{j=1}^{l}m_0(A^{-j}\omega)\mathbb{1}_U(A^{-j}\omega),\quad \omega\in G,\quad l\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly we have

$$\lim_{l\to\infty}g_l(\omega)=g(\omega),\quad \omega\in G, \tag{28}$$

and

$$g_l(\omega) = m_0(A^{-1}\omega)g_{l-1}(A^{-1}\omega), \quad l \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{29}$$

Consider now the H-periodic functions

$$\Phi_l(\omega) := \sum_{h\in H} |g_l(\omega \oplus h)|^2, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Note that if $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\delta_k = A^{-1}h_{[k]}$, $h_{[s]} = A^{-1}h_{[sp]}$, $h_{[sp+k]} = h_{[sp]} \oplus h_{[k]}$. Therefore

$$W_\alpha(A^{-1}(\omega \oplus h_{[sp+k]})) = W_\alpha(A^{-1}\omega \oplus \delta_k)$$

that, by (29), yields

$$\Phi_l(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_+} |g_l(\omega \oplus h_{[sp+k]})|^2$$

$$= \big(|m_0(A^{-1}\omega)|^2 + |m_0(A^{-1}\omega \oplus \delta_1)|^2 + \cdots + |m_0(A^{-1}\omega \oplus \delta_{p-1})|^2\big) \cdot \Phi_{l-1}(\omega).$$

It follows from (10) that

$$|\Phi_l(\omega)| \le |\Phi_{l-1}(\omega)|.$$

Since $|\Phi_0(\omega)| \le 1$, we have $|\Phi_l(\omega)| \le 1$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in G$. Consequently,

$$\int_G |g_l(\omega)|^2 \, d\mu(\omega) = \sum_{h\in H} \int_{U\oplus h} |g_l(\omega)|^2 \, d\mu(\omega)$$

$$= \int_U \sum_{h\in H} |g_l(\omega \oplus h)|^2 \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_U \Phi_l(\omega) \, d\mu(\omega) \le 1, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

According to (28) and Fatou's lemma, we then obtain

$$\int_G |g(\omega)|^2 \, d\mu(\omega) \le \sup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \int_G |g_l(\omega)|^2 \, d\mu(\omega) \le 1.$$

Thus $g \in L^2(G)$, and hence, by Plancherel's theorem, $\varphi \in L^2(G)$. Evidently, φ satisfies (5), so φ is a refinable function with the mask m_0. To complete the proof it remains to show that φ is compactly supported. But this easily follows from [7, Proposition 2]. $\Diamond$

Remark 12 *Evidently, any function φ defined by $\widehat{\varphi} = cg$, where g is from Theorem 11, $c \neq 0$, is a compactly supported refinable function with the same mask m_0, and $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta) = c$. It follows from Theorems 10 and 2 that such φ generates tight wavelet frames whose frame boundary equals $|c|^2$.*

We next describe all Walsh polynomials satisfying assumptions of Theorem 11.

Proposition 13 *Let m_0 be a Walsh polynomial of order p^n-1, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, given by (6). Conditions $m_0(\theta)=1$ and (10) hold true for the polynomial m_0 if and only if its coefficients a_α are defined by the Vilenkin-Chrestenson transform (1), where the numbers b_s satisfy*

$$b_0=1,\quad |b_l|^2+|b_{l+p^{n-1}}|^2+\cdots+|b_{l+(p-1)p^{n-1}}|^2\le 1,\quad 0\le l\le p^{n-1}-1. \tag{30}$$

Proof. Relations (1) and (2) are equivalent. It follows from (2) that $b_s=m_0(A^{-n}h_{[s]})$, $s=0,\dots,p^n-1$. Since $m_0(A^{-n}h_{[s]})=m_0(\omega)$ for all $\omega\in U_{n,\,s}$ and m_0 is H-periodic, we see that both conditions $m_0(\theta)=1$ and (10) are valid if and only if (30) is satisfied. $\Diamond$

Analyzing the latter statement, we can give an algorithmic method for the construction of Walsh polynomials satisfying assumptions of Theorem 11.

Algorithm B.

- **Step 1.** Choose $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and arbitrary numbers $b_0,\dots,b_{p^n-1}\in\mathbb{C}$ satisfying (30).
- **Step 2.** Compute $a_0,\dots,a_{p^n-1}$ by (1).
- **Step 3.** Define m_0 by (6).

5 Approximation order

In this section we study approximation properties of compactly supported wavelet frames. An MRA-based method for the construction of such frames was described in the previous sections, but here we consider arbitrary compactly supported wavelet frames, not necessary MRA based as above.

For $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we set

$$W_2^m=\left\{\,f\in L_2(G)\,:\,\int\limits_G \|\omega\|^{2k}|\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)<\infty\quad\text{for}\quad k=0,1,\dots,m\,\right\}. \tag{31}$$

It is not difficult to see that W_2^m is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{2,m}:=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\int\limits_G \|\omega\|^{2k}|\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)\right)^{1/2}.$$

So, W_2^m is an analog of the Sobolev space in the real setting.

Theorem 14 *Let $f\in W_2^m$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, and let $\{\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}|\,\nu=1,\dots,r,\ i\in\mathbb{Z},\ k\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\}$ be a compactly supported tight wavelet frame. Then*

$$\Big\|f-\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j}\sum_{\nu=1}^{r}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\langle f\,,\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\rangle\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\Big\|_2\le Cp^{-jm}\|f\|_{2,m}, \tag{32}$$

where C does not depend of f and j.

Proof. Due to the main property of tight frames in Hilbert spaces (see, e.g., [20, §1.8]), every $f \in L_2(G)$ can be decomposed as

$$f = \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \rangle \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)},$$

where the series converges unconditionally. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| f - \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \rangle \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \Big\|_2 &= \Big\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \rangle \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \Big\|_2 \\ &\le \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \Big\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \rangle \psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)} \Big\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\}$ is a frame, the functions $\psi(\omega \oplus h)$, $h \in H$, form a Bessel system. It follows that

$$\sum_{h \in H} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega \oplus h)|^2 \le C_1 \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{33}$$

This fact can be easily checked in the same way as it is done for the real case (see, e.g., [20, Theorem 1.1.7]).

For $i > j$ and $\psi = \psi^{(\nu)}$, using the Plancherel theorem and (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \sum_k \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle \psi_{i,k} \Big\|_2^2 &= \Big\| \sum_k \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle \widehat{\psi}_{i,k} \Big\|_2^2 \\ = p^{-j} \int_G \Big| \sum_k \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle W_k(A^{-i}\omega) \widehat{\psi}(A^{-i}\omega) \Big|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ = \int_G \Big| \sum_k \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle W_k(\omega) \Big|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 \, d\mu(\omega) \\ = \int_U \sum_{h \in H} |\widehat{\psi}(\omega \oplus h)|^2 \Big| \sum_k \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle W_k(\omega) \Big|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ \le C_1 \int_U \Big| \sum_k \langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle W_k(\omega) \Big|^2 d\mu(\omega) = C_1 \sum_k |\langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$G_j(\omega) := p^i \sum_{h \in H} \widehat{f}(A^i(\omega \oplus h)) \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\omega \oplus h)}$$

is H-periodic, $G_j \in L_2(U)$ and

$$\langle f\,, \psi_{i,k} \rangle = \int_U G_j(\omega) W_k(\omega) \, d\mu(\omega).$$

It follows that

$$\left(\sum_k |\langle f\,,\psi_{i,k}\rangle|^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int\limits_U p^i|\sum_{h\in H}\widehat{f}(A^i(\omega\oplus h))\widehat{\psi}(\omega\oplus h)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)\right)^{1/2} \le I_1+I_2, \quad (35)$$

where

$$I_1^2=\int\limits_U p^i|\widehat{f}(A^i(\omega))\widehat{\psi}(\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega), \quad I_2^2=\int\limits_U p^i|\sum_{h\ne\theta}\widehat{f}(A^i(\omega\oplus h))\widehat{\psi}(\omega\oplus h)|^2\,d\mu(\omega).$$

If $\omega\in U$, $h\in H$ and $h\ne\theta$, then $\|\omega\oplus h\|=\|h\|\ge 1$. Hence, by (33),

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^2 &\le p^i\int\limits_U\sum_{h\ne\theta}\|\omega\oplus h\|^{2m}|\widehat{f}(A^i(\omega\oplus h))|^2\cdot\sum_{h\ne\theta}\frac{|\widehat{\psi}(\omega\oplus h)|^2}{\|\omega\oplus h\|^{2m}}\,d\mu(\omega)\\ &\le C_1p^i\int\limits_G\|\omega\|^{2m}|\widehat{f}(A^i\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)\le C_1p^{-2im}\|f\|_{2,m}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Since ψ is a compactly supported function, there exists a positive integer n such that $\widehat{\psi}$ is constant on each set $U_{n,s}$ (see, e.g., [7, Proposition 2]). On the other hand, $\widehat{\psi}$ is continuous and the series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}|\langle f\,,\psi_{i,k}\rangle|^2$ is convergent. It follows from (26) with $\varphi=\psi$ that $\widehat{\psi}(\theta)=0$. Thus $\widehat{\psi}(\omega)=0$ for all $\omega\in U_{n,0}$. Using this and taking into account that $\|\omega\|\ge p^{-n}$ whenever $\omega\notin U_{n,0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^2 &= p^i\int\limits_{U\setminus U_{n,0}}|\widehat{f}(A^i\omega)\widehat{\psi}(\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)\le\frac{\|\widehat{\psi}\|_\infty\,p^i}{p^{-2mn}}\int\limits_{U\setminus U_{n,0}}\|\omega\|^{2m}|\widehat{f}(A^i\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)\\ &\le\frac{\|\widehat{\psi}\|_\infty\,p^i}{p^{-2mn}}\int\limits_G\|\omega\|^{2m}|\widehat{f}(A^i\omega)|^2\,d\mu(\omega)\le C_2p^{-2im}\|f\|_{2,m}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

It follows from (35)-(37) combined with (34) that

$$\Big\|\sum_k\langle f\,,\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\rangle\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\Big\|_2=\Big\|\sum_k\langle f\,,\psi_{i,k}\rangle\psi_{i,k}\Big\|_2\le C_3p^{-im}\|f\|_{2,m},$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{r}\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty}\Big\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\langle f\,,\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\rangle\psi_{i,k}^{(\nu)}\Big\|_2\le Cp^{-jm}\|f\|_{2,m},$$

which was to be proved. $\Diamond$

Note that an analog of Theorem 14 does not exist in the real setting. It is well known that some special property of wavelet functions $\psi^{(\nu)}$ should be satisfied for the corresponding frame decomposition to provide approximation order m. We see that the situation is different in the case of Vilenkin groups. Wavelet tight frame decomposition has an arbitrary large approximation order whenever all wavelet functions are compactly supported.

Acknowledgments

Yu.A.F. is supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, grant #5.5805.2011. E.A.L. is supported by the RFBR, grant #12-01-00216, by Saint Petersburg State University, grant #9.38.62.2012, and by grant of President RF #MK-1847.2012.1. M.A.S. is supported by the RFBR-grant #12-01-00216, and by Saint Petersburg State University, grant #9.38.62.2012.

References

[1] G. H. Agaev, N. Ya. Vilenkin,G. M. Dzhafarli, and A.I. Rubinstein, *Multiplicative Systems of Functions and Harmonic Analysis on 0-Dimensional Groups*, ELM, Baku, 1981 (in Russian).

[2] B. Dong and Z. Shen, Framelets: MRA-based wavelet frames and applications, in *Mathematics in image processing* / Hogkai Zhao, editor. – (IAS/Park City mathematics series) **19** (2013) 7-208.

[3] Yu. A. Farkov, Orthogonal wavelets with compact support on locally compact abelian groups, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **69**(3) (2005) 193-220. English transl., *Izvestiya: Mathematics* **69**(3) (2005) 623-650.

[4] Yu. A. Farkov and V. Yu. Protasov, Dyadic wavelets and refinable functions on a half-line, *Mat. Sbornik* **197**(10) (2006) 129-160. English transl., *Sbornik: Mathematics* **197** (2006) 1529-1558.

[5] Yu. A. Farkov and E. A. Rodionov, Estimates of the smoothness of dyadic orthogonal wavelets of Daubechies type, *Math. Notes* **86**(3-4) (2009) 407-421.

[6] Yu. A. Farkov, U. Goginava, and T. Kopaliani, Unconditional convergence of wavelet expansion on the Cantor dyadic group, *Jaen J. Approx.* **3** (1) (2011) 117-133.

[7] Yu. A. Farkov, Orthogonal wavelets on direct products of cyclic groups, *Mat. Zametki* **82**(6) (2007) 934-952. English transl., *Math. Notes* **82**(6) (2007) 843-859.

[8] Yu. A. Farkov, Biorthogonal wavelets on Vilenkin groups, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **265**(1) (2009) 110-124. English transl., *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **265**(1) (2009) 101-114.

[9] Yu. A. Farkov and E. A. Rodionov, Algorithms for wavelet construction on Vilenkin groups, *p -Adic Numb. Ultr. Anal. Appl.* **3** (3) (2011) 181-195.

[10] Yu. A. Farkov, Examples of frames on the Cantor dyadic group, *J. Math. Sc.* **187**(1) (2012) 22-34.

[11] B. I. Golubov, A. V. Efimov, and V. A. Skvortsov, *Walsh Series and Transforms*, URSS, Moscow, 2008. English transl. of 1st ed., Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.

[12] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1963, 1979.

[13] A. V. Krivoshein, E. A. Lebedeva, Uncertainty Principle for the Cantor Dyadic Group, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **423** (2015) 1231-1242.

[14] W. C. Lang, Orthogonal wavelets on the Cantor dyadic group, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27** (1996) 305-312.

[15] W. C. Lang, Fractal multiwavelets related to the Cantor dyadic group, *Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **21** (1998) 307-317.

[16] W. C. Lang, Wavelet analysis on the Cantor dyadic group, *Houston J. Math.* **24** (1998) 533-544.

[17] W. Lawton, S. N. Lee, and Z. Shen, Stability and orthonormality of multivariate refinable functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **28, 4** (1977) 999-1114.

[18] S. F. Lukomskii, Step refinable functions and orthogonal MRA on p-adic Vilenkin groups, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **20** (2014) 42-65.

[19] V. N. Malozemov and S. M. Masharskii, Generalized wavelet bases related to the discrete Vilenkin-Chrestenson transform, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **13** (2002) 75-106.

[20] I. Ya. Novikov, V. Yu. Protasov, and M. A. Skopina *Wavelet Theory*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **239** (AMS, Providence), 2011.

[21] A. P. Petukhov, Explicit construction of framelets, *Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.* **11** (2001) 313-327.

[22] A. Ron and Z. Shen, Compactly supported tight affine spline frames in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *Math. Comput.* **67** (1998) 191-207.

[23] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, and P. Simon, *Walsh Series: An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger, New York, 1990.

[24] Bl. Sendov, Multiresolution analysis of functions defined on the dyadic topological group, *East J. Approx.* **3**(2)(1997), 225–239.

[25] F. A. Shah, Tight wavelet frames generazed by the Walsh polynomials, *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process.* **11** (6) (2013), 1350042, 15 p.

[26] N. Ya. Vilenkin, On a class of complete orthonormal systems, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **11** (1947) 363-400. English transl., *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **28** (Ser. 2) (1963) 1-35.